

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Ubine STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/510,409	10/05/2004	Franco Codignola	163-572	7474	
⁴⁷⁸⁸⁸ HEDMAN & (7590 07/12/2007 COSTIGAN P.C. IE OF THE AMERICAS NY 10036		EXAM	EXAMINER	
1185 AVENUI			KUMAR, SH	KUMAR, SHAILENDRA	
NEW TORK,			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1621		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			07/12/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.		Applicant(s)	
	10/510,409	CODIGNOLA, FRANCO	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	SHAILENDRA KUMAR	1621	

	SHAILENDRA KUMAR	1621							
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress						
THE REPLY FILED <u>28 June 2007</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.							
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:									
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.								
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE 06.07(f).	g date of the final rejecti FIRST REPLY WAS F	on. ILED WITHIN						
extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee ave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee nder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as et forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, nay reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). IOTICE OF APPEAL									
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of e appeal. Since						
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief,	will not be entered be	ecause						
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co	nsideration and/or search (see NO								
 (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or 									
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.								
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).									
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mpliant Amendment ((PTOL-324).						
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)			correcti'ng						
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) 6 and 7 would be a the nonecessary defined.	allowable if submitted in a separate	, timely filed amendm	ent c ancelin g						
7. ☐ For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a)	□ will not be entered or b) ⊠ will	I be entered and an e	volanation of						
how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov		, be entered and an e	Apianauon oi						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:									
Claim(s) allowed: <u>6 and 7</u> . Claim(s) objected to:									
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected:									
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	•								
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE									
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	t before or on the date of filing a No d sufficient reasons why the affidav	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> it or other evidence is	t be entered necessary and						
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing	a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the	date of filing a brief,	will <u>not</u> be						
entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a									
showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1). The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.									
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	i or the status of the claims after er	illy is below of attach	ieu.						
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:									
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		\$						
13. Other: See Continuation Sheet.	4	A							
* Also dollail he Cu N to be tohil	natic chain	CELIVE	-						
had a my har to be the comment	wated	SHAILENDRA - KU	MAR						
needs to be deleted, or unsaturally which chain needs to be deleted.	eg.	Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1621							

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Continuation of 13. Other: Claims 6-7 have lots of unnecessary definitions, that needs to take care of. For example, **b**, p, q nd R2 deemed to be unnecessary and not required. Also definition of m is not clear. Applicants need to definie m very clearly .